

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,966	06/20/2002	Stephen Richard Hellaby	0290-0180P	2811
2292	7590 05/31/2005		EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH &	BECKER, DREW E		
PO BOX 747	•		A DET LOUIS	D (DED) II D (DED
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/030,966	HELLABY ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Drew E. Becker	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprocessing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	-	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS		·				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		≀ E below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bei		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. $\overline{\square}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32,37 and 41-49</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant argues that Shuford et al do not teach a size of less than 300 micrometers. However, Shuford et al explication microns (column 4, line 59). Clearly, 1-10 microns is with siling However, the claims do not explicate this company.	distribution with a d[0.5] value of le citly state that at least 90% of the s thin the claimed range. Applicant a	ess than 100 microme salt has a particle size argues that Shuford e	eters and d[0.9] e of 1-10 t al required			
silica. However, the claims do not exclude this componenthe product of Shuford et al would have inherently posses the same amounts. Beganding doing 16 the abstract of	essed the same properties since it	contains the same co	omponents in			
the same amounts. Regarding claim 46, the abstract of seasoning components and diluents or carriers.	Snutord et al discloses a product					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	REW BECKER			
13. Other:		PRIM	ARY EXAMINER			
5-74-05						
		•	/			